

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginiu 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 09/04/2003		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Tong Xie	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,944				10030169-1	7022
57299	7590	06/21/2007		EXAMINER	
Kathy Manke Avago Techn	ologies Lim	nited	ALSOMIRI, ISAM A		
4380 Ziegler Road Fort Collins, CO 80525				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,			3662	
		á á		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				06/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/655,944	XIE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit ·				
	Isam Alsomiri	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ap</u>	oril 2007.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-45</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-45</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the office of the september 2003 is/a	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prioric application for a list of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 26-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murakami et al US005148016A. Referring to claims 26, 38 and 43, Murakami discloses in figure 1b a system for determining a position of a target, comprising: a processing unit; the target (112, 110), the target being reflective and moveable; a first light source (122), a first light beam splitter (124), a first light beam steering device (126) and a first light detector (128), the first light source being configured to emit a first light beam towards the first light beam splitter, the first light beam splitter being configured to reflect the first light beam emitted by the first light source towards the first light beam steering device, the first light beam steering device being configured, under control of the processing unit (col. 23 line 63), to sweep the first light beam over a first full angular range and a first limited angular range, the target being configured to reflect the first light beam reflected from the first light beam steering device back towards the first light beam steering device for reflection therefrom as a first target reflected beam and thence towards and through the first light beam splitter to the first light detector for detection thereby (the first full angular range is also a first limited range as shown in figure 1b);

Art Unit: 3662

a second light source (121), a second light beam splitter (123), a second light beam steering device (125) and a second light detector (127), the second light source being configured to emit a second light beam towards the second light beam splitter, the second beam splitter being configured to reflect the second light beam emitted by the second light source towards the second light beam steering device, the second light beam steering device being configured, under control of the processing unit (col. 23 line 63), to sweep the second light beam over a second full angular range and a second limited angular range, the target being configured to reflect the second light beam reflected from the second light beam steering device back towards the second light beam steering device for reflection therefrom as a second target reflected beam and thence towards and through the second light beam splitter to the second tight detector for detection thereby (also the second full range is also the second limited range);

wherein the processing unit is operably connected to the first and second light beam steering devices and configured to cause: (a) the first light beam steering unit to sweep through the first full angular range until the target is detected as a result of the first target reflected beam being reflected into the first light detector; (b) the second light beam steering unit to sweep through the second full angular range until the target is detected by the second target reflected beam being reflected into the second light detector; (c) the first light beam steering device to sweep through the first limited angular range in response to the first target reflected beam being detected by the first light detector; and (d) the second light beam steering device to sweep through the

Art Unit: 3662

second limited angular range in response to the second target reflected beam being detected by the second light detector (see figure 1b, Abstract, and col. 2:25-49).

Referring to claim 27, the processing unit is configured to determine the position of the target on the basis of the first limited angular range and a first angular position associated therewith, and the second angular limited range and a second angular position associated therewith (see figure 1b, Abstract, and col. 2:25-49, col. 3:16-19, col. 9:16-28).

Referring to claim 28, the processing unit is configured to determine an absolute position of the target based on the first limited angular range and a first angular position associated therewith, and the second angular limited range and a second angular position associated therewith (see figure 1b, Abstract, and col. 2:25-49, col. 3:16-19, col. 9:16-28).

Referring to claims 29-30 and 39-40, it's inherent the first or second beam steering device is configured to dither about the position of the target after the first limited angular range has been swept through a first time to be able to track the target or cursor.

Referring to claims 31 and 41, the first beam steering device is configured to resume sweeping through the first full angular range after the target is no longer detected by the system (see figure 1b, Abstract, and col. 2:25-49, col. 3:16-19, col. 9:16-28).

Referring to claims 32 and 42, the second beam steering device is configured to resume sweeping through the second full angular range after the target is no longer

Art Unit: 3662

detected by the system (see figure 1b, Abstract, and col. 2:25-49, col. 3:16-19, col. 9:16-28).

Referring to claim 33, the target includes a retro-reflecting surface (see Abstract).

Referring to claims 34, the first light beam steering device and the second light beam steering device are scanning mirror beam steering device (col. 5:65 to col. 6:1).

Referring to claim 35, the first light beam and the second light beam are each generated semiconductor laser technology-based light source (col. 6:15-16).

Referring to claims 36 and 44, further comprising means for enabling a cursor in a computer to be controlled according to the position of the target (col. 8:49-50).

Referring to claims 37 and 45, further comprising means for inputting data representative of the position of the target (col. 1:13-22).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 8, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claims 26-45, applicant argues that the amended claims include the distinct limitations of having a "first full angular range" and "first limited angular range" and similarly "second full angular range" and "second limited angular range" are not found or disclosed in any way by the Murakami reference. In response: Since the claims do not distinguish between the full angular range or the limited angular range; it has been read broadly to be the same. Murakami teaches a scanning unit that scan in the maximum full angular range of the system, which also can be interpreted as being limited. Therefore, it appears that applicant argument is not commensurate with

Art Unit: 3662

the broad scope of the amended claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isam Alsomiri whose telephone number is 571-272-6970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on 571-272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3662

Isam Alsomiri

June 10. 2007